RECEIVED

O6 NOV -2 PM 3: 25

HEARINGS CLERK
EPA -- REGION 10

BEFORE THE

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

[4

15

16

17

18

19-

20

21

22

23

24

25

	BEFORE THE	3	
UNITED STATES	ENVIRONMENTAL	PROTECTION	AGENCY

In the Matter of:)))	DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006
CITY OF SKAGWAY, Skagway, Alaska)	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER
Respondent.)	63

I. STATUTORY AUTHORITY

- 1.1. This Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B).
- 1.2. The Administrator has delegated the authority to enter into the Final Order contained in Part V of this CAFO to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 10, who in turn has redelegated this authority to the Regional Judicial Officer in EPA Region 10.
- 1.3. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(1) and (g)(2)(B), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1) and (g)(2)(B), and in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties," 40 C.F.R. Part 22, EPA hereby issues, and the

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 1 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006

of this CAFO.

21

24

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 2 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006

City of Skagway ("Skagway") hereby agrees to issuance of, the Final Order contained in Part V

Π. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 2.1. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.45(b), issuance of this CAFO commences this proceeding which will conclude when the Final Order contained in Part V of this CAFO becomes effective.
- 2.2. Part III of this CAFO contains a concise statement of the factual basis for the alleged violations of the CWA, together with specific provisions of the CWA and implementing regulations that Skagway is alleged to have violated.

Ш. **ALLEGATIONS**

- 3.1. Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits the "discharge of any pollutant by any person" except as authorized by a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. Section 502(12) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(12), defines the term "discharge of a pollutant" to include "any addition of any pollutant to navigable waters from any point source." "Navigable waters" are defined as "waters of the United States." 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7).
- 3.2. Skagway is a municipality organized under the laws of the State of Alaska and, thus, is a "person" as defined in Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).
- 3.3. Skagway owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility ("Facility") located in Skagway, Alaska.
- 3.4. During the times relevant to this action, Skagway was authorized to discharge municipal wastewater containing pollutants from the Facility pursuant to NPDES Permit No. AK-002001-0 ("Permit"). The Permit became effective on October 1, 2002 and expires on September 7, 2007.

- 3.5. The Facility, which was under Skagway's control at all times relevant to this action, discharges pollutants from Outfall 001, which is located at latitude 59° 26' 54.8" North and longitude 135° 19' 36.6" West. Outfall 001 is a "point source" within the meaning of Section 502(14) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1562(14).
- 3.6. The Facility discharges municipal wastewater containing pollutants into Taiya Inlet. Taiya Inlet is a "navigable water" within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1562(7), and a "water of the United States" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 3.7. Part I.A. of the Permit established effluent limitations for the discharge from Outfall 001. These effluent limitations include, but are not limited to, limits for biochemical oxygen demand ("BOD"), fecal coliform bacteria, and copper.
- 3.8. Part II.C. of the Permit requires Skagway to summarize monitoring results for the Facility each month in a Discharge Monitoring Report ("DMR").
- 3.9. Skagway's DMRs from October 2002 to November 2005 indicate that the Facility had 1,211 violations of the effluent limitations set forth in the Permit. When a permittee exceeds a monthly average effluent limit, the permittee is deemed to be in violation of that effluent limit each of the days of the month in which the violation occurred. When a permittee exceeds a daily maximum effluent limit, the exceedance is counted as one violation.
- 3.10. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a monthly average effluent limit for BOD in discharges from the Facility that occur between May 1st and September 30th of 140 mg/L. Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the monthly average effluent limit for BOD a total of four (4) months, constituting 122 violations. The violations are as follows:

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
June 2003	30
July 2004	31

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 3 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
June 2005	30
July 2005	31

3.11. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a monthly average effluent limit for BOD in discharges from the Facility that occur between October 31st and April 30th of 80 mg/L. Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the monthly average effluent limit for BOD a total of five (5) months, constituting 152 violations. The violations are as follows:

Number of Violations
31
30
30
31
30

- 3.12. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a daily maximum effluent limit for BOD in discharges from the Facility that occur between May 1st and September 30th of 200 mg/L.

 Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the daily maximum effluent limit for BOD in June 2005, constituting one (1) violation.
- 3.13. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a daily maximum effluent limit for BOD discharges from the Facility that occur between October 1st and April 30th of 100 mg/L.

 Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the daily maximum effluent limit for BOD a total of six (6) months, constituting six (6) violations. The violations are as follows:

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
December 2002	1
October 2003	1
November 2003	j j

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
October 2004	l
December 2004	I
April 2005	1

3.14. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a monthly average removal requirement for BOD in discharges from the Facility that requires that the discharges do not exceed seventy percent (70%) of the monthly average influent concentration. Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the monthly average removal requirement for BOD a total of fifteen (15) months, constituting 458 violations. The violations are as follows:

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
March 2003	× 31
April 2003	30
May 2003	31
June 2003	30
July 2003	31
August 2003	31
November 2003	30
December 2003	31
February 2004	29
March 2004	31
August 2004	31
October 2004	31
December 2004	31
April 2005	30
September 2005	30

3.15. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a monthly average effluent limit for fecal coliform bacteria in discharges from the Facility of 1.0 x 10⁶ colonies/100 mL. Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the monthly average effluent limit for fecal

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
January 2003	31-
June 2003	30
July 2003	31
August 2003	31
September 2003	30
May 2004	31
June 2004	30
July 2004	31
August 2004	31
March 2005	31
June 2005	30
July 2005	31

3.16. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a daily maximum effluent limit for fecal coliform bacteria in discharges from the Facility of 1.5 x 10⁶ colonies/100 mL. Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the daily maximum effluent limit for fecal coliform bacteria a total of twelve (12) months, constituting twelve (12) violations. The violations are as follows:

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
October 2002	1
January 2003	1
June 2003	1
July 2003	1
September 2003	1
May 2004	ì
June 2004	1
July 2004	1
August 2004	1

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 6 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
June 2005	1
July 2005	1
August 2005	1

3.17. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a monthly average effluent limit for copper in discharges from the Facility of 150 µg/L. Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the monthly average effluent limit for copper a total of three (3) months, constituting 91 violations. The violations are as follows:

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
August 2003	31
September 2005	30
November 2005	30

- 3.18. Section I.A. of the Permit contains a daily maximum effluent limit for copper in discharges from the Facility of 210 µg/L. Between October 2002 and November 2005, Skagway violated the daily maximum effluent limit for copper in August 2003, constituting one (1) violation.
- 3.19. Under Section 309(g)(1) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), EPA may assess an administrative penalty when EPA finds that "any person ... has violated any permit condition or limitations ... in a permit issued" pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. The Permit violations alleged for the Facility constitute violations of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). Consequently, under Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), Skagway is liable for the administrative assessment of civil penalties for violations at the Facility in an amount not to exceed \$11,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, up to a maximum amount of \$157,500.

14

22

IV. CONSENT AGREEMENT

- 4.1. Skagway stipulates that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter alleged herein.
- 4.2. As required under Section 309(g)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), EPA has taken into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the alleged violations as well as Skagway's economic benefit of noncompliance, ability to pay, and other relevant factors.

 After considering all of these factors, EPA has determined and Skagway agrees that an appropriate penalty to settle this action is in the amount of Eighteen Thousand Dollars (\$18,000.00).
- 4.3. Skagway neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained in Part III of this CAFO.
- 4.4. Skagway consents to issuance of the Final Order set forth in Part V, below, and agrees to pay the total civil penalty set forth in Paragraph 4.2, above, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Final Order.
- 4.5. Payment under this CAFO shall be made by cashier's check or certified check, payable to the order of "Treasurer, United States of America" and delivered to the following address:

Mellon Bank EPA Region 10 P.O. Box 36903M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251

Skagway shall note on the check the title and docket number of this action.

4.6. Skagway shall serve photocopies of the check described in Paragraph 4.5, above, on the Regional Hearing Clerk and the EPA Region 10 Office of Compliance and Enforcement at the following addresses:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, ORC-158
Seattle, WA 98101

Office of Compliance and Enforcement Attn: Jamie Sikorski U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, OCE-133 Seattle, WA 98199

- 4.7. If Skagway fails to pay the penalty assessed by this CAFO in fully by the due date set forth in Paragraph 4.4, above, Skagway may be subject to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty under the Act, together with interest, fees, costs, and additional penalties described below. In any collection action, the validity, amount, and appropriateness of the penalty shall not be subject to review.
- 4.8. If Skagway fails to pay any portion of the penalty assessed by this CAFO in full by the due date set forth in Paragraph 4.4, above, Skagway shall be responsible for payment of the amounts described below:
 - 4.8.1. Interest. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. 1319(g)(9), any unpaid portion of the assessed penalty shall bear interest at a rate established by the Secretary of Treasury pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717(a)(1) from the effective date of the Final Order set forth in Part V, below, provided, however, that no interest shall be payable on any portion of the assessed penalty that is paid within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Final Order.
 - 4.8.2. Attorneys Fees, Collection Costs, Nonpayment Penalty. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(9), if Skagway fails to pay on a timely basis the amount of the penalty set forth in Paragraph 4.2, above, Skagway shall pay (in

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 9 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006

addition to any assessed penalty and interest) attorneys fees and costs for collection proceedings and a quarterly nonpayment penalty for each quarter during which such failure to pay persists. Such nonpayment penalty shall be in an amount equal to twenty percent (20%) of the aggregate amount of Skagway's penalties and nonpayment penalties which are unpaid as of the beginning of such quarter.

- 4.9. The penalty described in Paragraph 4.2, above, including any additional costs incurred under Paragraph 4.8, above, represents an administrative civil penalty assessed by EPA and shall not be deductible for purposes of federal taxes.
- 4.10. The undersigned representative of Skagway certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this CAFO and to bind Skagway to this document.
- 4.11. Except as described in Subparagraph 4.8.2, above, each party shall bear its own costs in bringing or defending this action.
- 4.12. Skagway expressly waives any rights to contest the allegations and waives any right to appeal the Final Order set forth in Part V, below.
- 4.13. The provisions of this CAFO shall bind Skagway and its agents, servants, employees, successors, and assigns.
- 4.14. The above provisions are STIPULATED AND AGREED upon by Skagway and EPA.

DATED:

CITY OF SKAGWAY:

21

20

22 8-3-08

TIM BOURCY

Mayor

For Respondent

25

24

23

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 10 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006

	ı
-	
1	DATED:
2	
3	9/14/
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	5.
9	reference
10	of the sett
11	5.3
12	pursuant (
13	§ 22.31(a
14	appropria
15	This CAF
16	with all a
17	thereunde
18	5.:
19	C.F.R. § 2
20	opportuni
21	against Si
22	5.4

24

25

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:

9/14/06

COURTNEY J. HAMAMOTO Assistant Regional Counsel For Complainant

V. FINAL ORDER

- 5.1. The terms of the foregoing Parts I-IV are hereby ratified and incorporated by reference into this Final Order. Skagway is hereby ordered to comply with the foregoing terms of the settlement.
- 5.2. This CAFO shall constitute a settlement by EPA of all claims for civil penalties pursuant to the Act for the violations alleged in Part III, above. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.31(a), nothing in this CAFO shall affect the right of EPA or the United States to pursue appropriate injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions for any violations of law. This CAFO does not waive, extinguish, or otherwise affect Skagway's obligations to comply with all applicable provisions of the Act, applicable Act regulations, and/or any permits issued thereunder.
- 5.3. In accordance with Section 309(g)(1) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.38(b), the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation has been given the opportunity to consult with EPA regarding the assessment of the administrative civil penalty against Skagway.
- 5.4. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(4)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(A), EPA has published public notice of its intent to assess an administrative penalty against Skagway and to invite public comment in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.45. More than forty (40) days have

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 11 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 12 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2007-0006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the original of the attached CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER in In the Matter of: City of Skagway, DOCKET NO.: CWA-10-2007-0006 was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk on November 02, 2006.

On November 02, 2006 the undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the document was delivered to:

Courtney Hamamoto, Esquire U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, ORC-158 Seattle, Washington 98101

Further, the undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the aforementioned document was placed in the United States mail certified/return receipt on November 02, 2006 to:

Tim Bourcy, Mayor City of Skagway P.O. Box 415 Skagway, Alaska 99840

Robert Blasco Robertson, Monagle & Eastaugh 9360 Glacier Highway, Suite 202 Juneau, Alaska 99801

DATED this 2nd day of November 2006.

Carol Kennedy

Regional Hearing Clerk

EPA Region 10